

**TAB 29**

### **3.3.5 Pre-Petition Canadian Lawsuits**

#### **3.3.5.1 Canadian Asbestos Personal Injury Lawsuits**

**On the Petition Date, there were no Canadian asbestos personal injury lawsuits pending against the Canadian Affiliates or any of the Debtors. All of the 44 asbestos personal injury lawsuits that were filed pre-petition in Canada against certain of the Debtors and the Canadian Affiliates were dismissed.**

**In addition to these lawsuits, there were also hundreds of lawsuits filed in the early 1990s in Texas State Court involving Canadian plaintiffs. Under Canadian law, a provincial workers' compensation agency who pays benefits is subrogated to the workers' rights against third parties for work related injuries. Liberal Texas venue rules at that time allowed these cases to be filed in the Texas State Court. The Debtors believe that these lawsuits were either settled or dismissed.**

#### **3.3.5.2 Canadian Asbestos Property Damage Lawsuits**

**On the Petition Date, there were no Canadian asbestos property damage lawsuits pending against the Canadian Affiliates or any of the Debtors. Approximately 24 asbestos property damage lawsuits were filed pre-petition in Canada against certain of the Debtors and the Canadian Affiliates. One case was tried and resulted in a verdict in favor of the defendants; most of the remaining cases were settled or withdrawn voluntarily by the plaintiffs. Holders of Asbestos PD Claims concerning Canadian properties filed 439 proofs of Claim in the Debtors' Chapter 11 Cases. The Debtors have examined these Claims, and intend to object to all or almost all of them on a number of different grounds. Such grounds may include: insufficient or lack of supporting documentation; lack of product identification; statute of limitations; lack of negligence; inapplicability of strict liability; and lack of causation. Under the Plan, those Canadian Asbestos PD Claims not disallowed through the objection process will be channeled to the Asbestos Trust, the assets of which the Debtors believe will be sufficient to satisfy all legitimate Asbestos PD Claims.**

### **3.3.6 3.3.5 Post-Petition Canadian Lawsuits**

**On October 25, 2004, Raven Thundersky and Rebecca Bruce filed a complaint with the Queens' Bench for Winnipeg Centre against certain of the Debtors, certain of the Debtors' former Canadian subsidiaries, the Attorney General of Canada, and others. The complaint is styled as a purported class-action and seeks recovery for alleged injuries suffered by any Canadian resident as a result of Grace's marketing, selling, processing, manufacturing, distributing and/or delivering asbestos or asbestos-containing products in Canada.**

**On October 29, 2004, a Motion for Authorization to Institute a Class Action and to Obtain the Status of Representative was filed by the Association des Consommateurs Pour la Qualité Dans La Construction and Jean-Charles Dextras in the Superior Court for the Province of Quebec, District of Montreal. The motion seeks authorization to institute a class-action lawsuit against Grace Canada, Inc. and the Attorney General of Canada on behalf of (1) every person who is the owner of a building insulated with vermiculite that**

was marketed under the brand name Zonolite Attic Insulation and (2) every person (or their heirs and successors, if applicable) who lives or has lived in a building insulated with Zonolite Attic Insulation and who has suffered, is suffering or will suffer from asbestosis, mesothelioma, or cancer of the lung.

**3.3.7 Canadian Claims**

**Upon confirmation, Canadian Claims shall be transferred to the Asbestos Trust along with all Asbestos Claims. Any Allowed Canadian Claims will be paid by the Asbestos Trust.**